



# UNIVERSITAS ISLAM SUMATERA UTARA

## 2<sup>nd</sup> ANNUAL INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE



Organized by Fakultas Sastra, Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia  
Jln. Sisingamangaraja Teladan Medan, Phone: 061-786991, 081260449749, 081269649443  
Website: [www.aicll2019.sastra.uisu.ac.id](http://www.aicll2019.sastra.uisu.ac.id) E-mail: [aicll@sastra.uisu.ac.id](mailto:aicll@sastra.uisu.ac.id)

### REVIEWER'S VALUATION REPORT OF THE MANUSCRIPT

<b>Reviewers' Initial</b>	mg		
<b>Title of The Manuscript</b>	FUTURE BRIDES' AND GROOMS' UNDERSTANDINGS OF THE TERMS TALAK AND KHULU'		
<b>Name of the Author(s)</b>	1. Sukiati 2. Saidurrahman 3. Rahmah Fithriani 4. Rahmad Ridwan 5. Efi Brata Madya		
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Partially</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>ARTICLE:</b> Are the concerns in this article important to the field of language, literature or language teaching?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>TITLE:</b> Is the title clear and appropriate for the content of the manuscript?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>ABSTRACT:</b> Does the abstract represent the article clearly and effectively, and give insight to the research?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>INTRODUCTION:</b> Does the introduction provide meaningful purpose(s) to the manuscript?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW:</b> Is the literature review appropriate and adequate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>METHODOLOGY:</b> Is the research method set systematically?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the techniques used appropriate for the collection and analysis of the data?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>FINDINGS/RESULTS:</b> Is (Are) The finding(s)/result(s) exposed clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the tables and figures, if any, arranged and explained appropriately?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>DISCUSSION:</b> Is the discussion clearly as well as deeply conducted?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>CONCLUSION:</b> Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>REFERENCES:</b> Are the references current and sufficient?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the manuscript follow the APA (6 <sup>th</sup> Ed., 2009) citations and style guide?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>GRAMMAR AND COHESION:</b> Is the language clear and understandable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>FORMAT:</b> Does the format of manuscript follow AICLL UISU 2019 submission guidelines?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>DECISION FROM REVIEWER (tick where appropriate):</b>			
<b>Accepted without revision</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Accepted with minor revision</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Accepted with major revision</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Rejected due to poor quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Rejected due to unrelated to the conference fields.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Rejected due to ethical issues</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<b>REVIEWER'S COMMENTS (if any):</b>			

--